# FIFTEENTH PERIODIC SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING RESULTS AUGUST 2003

#### AT THE

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION JET PROPULSION LABORATORY PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

Contract No. N68711-01-D-6008 Delivery Order No. 0001

Prepared for:

Department of the Navy,
Southwest Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, California 92132

Prepared by:

GEOFON, INC.

22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270 Diamond Bar, California 91765

# FIFTEENTH PERIODIC SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING RESULTS AUGUST 2003

### AT THE

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION JET PROPULSION LABORATORY PASADENA, CALIFORNIA

Contract No. N68711-01-D-6008 Delivery Order No. 0001

Prepared by:	anthony Fend	9-30-03
Anthony Ford		Date
GEOFON, Inc.		
Senior Geologist		
Approved by:	Mheles	9/30/03
Asrar Faheem, R.E.A.		Date
GEOFON, Inc.		
Project Manager		

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<u>Page</u>	
FIGURESi			
TABLESi			
APPENDICESii			
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONSiii			
1.0	.0 INTRODUCTION1-1		
2.0	2.0 SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING PROCEDURES2-1		
3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS3-1			
4.0	.0 CONCLUSIONS4-1		
5.0	0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL5-1		
6.0	REFER	RENCES6-1	
<u>FIGURES</u>			
Figure	: 1-1:	Location of Soil Vapor Monitoring Wells	
Figure	3-1:	Carbon Tetrachloride Concentrations at Depth	
Figure	3-2:	Freon 113 Concentrations at Depth	
Figure	23-3:	Trichloroethene Concentrations at Depth	
Figure	23-4:	1,1-Dichloroethene Concentrations at Depth	
Figure	3-5:	Total VOC Concentrations at Depth	
<u>TABI</u>	<u>LES</u>		
Table	1-1:	Summary of Soil Vapor Sampling Frequency	
Table	2-1:	Summary of Construction Details for Soil Vapor Monitoring Wells	
Table	2-2:	Summary of Primary Target Compounds for Analyses Performed on Soil Vapor Samples	

Table 3-1: Summary of Soil Vapor Results, Fifteenth Periodic Sampling Event

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Soil Vapor Data Validation Report, Fifteenth Periodic Sampling Event

Appendix B: B-1: Results of Soil Vapor Analyses

B-2: Chain-of-Custody Forms

B-3: Daily Opening, Closing, and Continuing Calibration Verification Reports

Appendix C: Summary of Soil Vapor Results, All Periodic Sampling Events Completed to Date

## **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

bgs: Below Grade Surface

BTEX: Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes

cc: Cubic Centimeter
CCl<sub>4</sub>: Carbon Tetrachloride

CDHS: California Department of Health Services

CRWQCB: California Regional Water Quality Control Board

%D: Percent Difference DCE: Dichloroethene

Freon 11: Trichlorofluoromethane

Freon 113: 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane

FWENC: Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation

GC: Gas Chromatograph
GEOFON: GEOFON, Incorporated
JPL: Jet Propulsion Laboratory

NASA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration

OD: Outside Diameter
OU-2: Operable Unit 2
PCE: Tetrachloroethene

QA/QC: Quality Assurance / Quality Control

RI: Remedial Investigation

TCA: Trichloroethane
TCE: Trichloroethene

μg/L: Micrograms per Liter

VOC: Volatile Organic Compound

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Presented in this report are the results of the fifteenth periodic soil vapor sampling event completed as part of the periodic monitoring program being conducted at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) for Operable Unit 2 (OU-2) (on-facility soils). The purpose of this program is to monitor the horizontal and vertical distributions of volatile organic compound (VOC) vapors in the vadose zone beneath the JPL site.

From August 18 through August 29, 2003, GEOFON, Inc. (GEOFON) personnel collected soil vapor samples from all available soil vapor sampling tips located in soil vapor monitoring well Nos. 1 through 15, No. 17, Nos. 25 through 28, and Nos. 30 through 39. All soil vapor sampling tips were plugged in monitoring well Nos. 16, 18, 19A and 20A, preventing the collection of soil vapor samples during this sampling event. The locations of the soil vapor monitoring wells are shown in Figure 1-1. The set of soil vapor monitoring wells included in this sampling event is based on the soil vapor sampling frequency developed for the periodic monitoring program. A summary of the soil vapor sampling frequency is presented in Table 1-1.

All soil vapor samples collected during this event were analyzed for VOCs by HP Labs in an on-site laboratory that is certified by the California Department of Health Services (CDHS). The analyses were performed in accordance with EPA Method 8260B and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (CRWQCB-LA), protocols and guidance.

Sampling procedures are described in Section 2.0, and a summary of all VOCs detected during this fourteenth periodic soil vapor sampling event, including locations and depths, is contained in Section 3.0. Conclusions are provided in Section 4.0. A soil vapor data validation report for all samples analyzed during this sampling event is included in Appendix A and summarized in Section 5.0. Cited references are listed in Section 6.0. Laboratory reports for all samples analyzed, along with chain-of-custody forms, are included in Appendix B. The daily calibration verification standards for each day's sampling are also included in Appendix B. Appendix C contains a summary of soil vapor sampling results from all events conducted during the duration of this periodic monitoring program.

## 2.0 SOIL VAPOR SAMPLING PROCEDURES

From August 18 through August 29, 2003, soil vapor samples were collected and analyzed from thirty (30) soil vapor monitoring wells that contained at least one open sampling tip. Soil vapor samples were collected from soil vapor monitoring well Nos. 1 through 15, No. 17, Nos. 25 through 28, and Nos. 30 through 39. A description of the soil vapor well construction procedures was presented in the first long-term soil vapor sampling report prepared for OU-2 (FWENC, 2000a). Well construction details are summarized in Table 2-1 of this report. One hundred five (105) depth-specific vapor samples, including ten (10) duplicate samples, were collected and analyzed for 25 primary target VOC compounds in accordance with the CRWQCB (1997) guidance.

Soil vapor samples were withdrawn from the soil through the sampling tips and 1/8-inch-outside diameter (OD) Nylaflow® tubing using calibrated, gas-tight, 60-cubic-centimeter (cc) sterile syringes fitted with a three-way on-off valve. Prior to collecting the soil vapor sample, four volumes of the length of the tubing were purged to flush the tubing and fill it with in-situ soil vapor. Since each foot of tubing has an internal volume of 1 cc, the total volume purged was easily measured with the calibrated syringes. Following purging, a 60-cc soil vapor sample was collected in the syringe, the valve was turned to the off position, and the sample was immediately transferred to the on-site mobile laboratory for analysis. During sampling, neither water vapor nor condensation was observed in the transparent sampling syringes. Because the purge and sample volumes were small, a vacuum pump was not required to evacuate the tubing or to collect a soil vapor sample. To demonstrate reproducibility of results, a duplicate soil vapor sample was collected and analyzed after every ten environmental samples. The previous one in five (20%) duplicate sampling regimen was reduced during the twelfth quarter to one in ten (10%) duplicates collected.

The samples were analyzed on-site in a certified mobile laboratory (Certification No. 1667) by the CDHS to perform analyses by EPA Method 8260B and presented in the 8021 format for the parameters listed in Table 2-2. The time between sample collection and analysis was, at most, only a few minutes.

### 3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The results from the previous remedial investigation (RI) for OU-2 (FWENC, 1999) indicated that four VOCs were more frequently detected in soil vapor samples at elevated concentrations relative to other VOCs. These four VOCs are carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>), 1,1,2-trichloro-trifluoroethane (Freon 113), trichloroethene (TCE), and 1,1-dichloroethene (1,1-DCE). During the fifteenth periodic sampling event, Freon 113, CC1<sub>4</sub>, 1,1-DCE, and TCE were the most common chemical compounds detected in the six (6) sampled soil vapor monitoring wells.

CC1<sub>4</sub> was detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No.25, 26, 27, 32, 33, and 39 at concentrations ranging from not detected (ND) to 1.4 micrograms per liter of vapor (μg/L-vapor), 1.6 to 2.2 μg/L-vapor, 2.2 to 2.7 μg/L-vapor, 2.3 to 3.3 μg/L-vapor, 7.0 to 11.0 μg/L-vapor, and 1.6 to 2.1 μg/L-vapor, respectively. CC1<sub>4</sub> was also detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No. 3 and 5 at concentration of 2.9 μg/L-vapor and 1.7 μg/L-vapor, respectively. The maximum concentration of CC1<sub>4</sub> (11.0 μg/L-vapor) was detected in the sample collected at a depth of 140 feet below ground surface (bgs) from soil vapor monitoring well No. 33. During this event, CC1<sub>4</sub> was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the soil vapor monitoring wells No.1, 2, 4, 6 through 15, 17, 28, 30, 31, and 34 through 38.

Freon 113 was detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No.9, 10, 33, and 39 at concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 1.3  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 4.1 to 4.8  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 1.5 to 2.4  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, and 1.7 to 26.0  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, respectively. Freon 113 was also detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 35 at concentration of 2.1  $\mu$ g/L-vapor. The maximum concentration of Freon 113 (26.0  $\mu$ g/L-vapor) was detected in the sample collected at a depth of 85 feet bgs from soil vapor monitoring well No. 39. During this event, Freon 113 was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the soil vapor monitoring wells No.1 through 8, 11 through 15, 17, 25 through 28, 30 through 32, 34, and 36 through 38.

TCE was detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No. 4, 17, 25, 28, 33, and 39 at a concentrations of 2.5 to 26.0  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 2.1 to 2.5  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, ND to 1.1  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, ND to 3.1  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, ND to 1.7  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, and 2.8 to 8.2  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, respectively. The maximum concentration of TCE (26.0  $\mu$ g/L-vapor) was detected in the sample collected at a depth of 20 feet bgs from soil vapor monitoring well No. 4. During this event, TCE was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the soil vapor monitoring wells No. 1 through 3, 5 through 15, 26, 27, 30 through 32, and 34 through 38.

1,1-DCE was detected in soil vapor monitoring well No. 33 at a concentrations ranging from 1.4 to 2.0  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, respectively. 1,1-DCE was not detected above the laboratory-reporting limit in the remaining soil vapor monitoring wells sampled during this event.

In addition, chloroform, not reported in previous event, was detected during this event. Chloroform was detected in soil vapor monitoring wells No.26, 33, and 37 at concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 1.2  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, ND to 4.4  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, and ND to 1.1  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, respectively. Tetrachloroethene (PCE), benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and m&p-xylenes were detected only in one sample collected at a depth of 36 feet bgs from soil vapor monitoring well No. 17 at concentrations of 7.1  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 110  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 3.3  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, 1.5  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, and 12  $\mu$ g/L-vapor, respectively. 1,1,1-trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA), reported in the previous event, was not detected during this event.

A summary of the analytical results for all samples collected during this sampling event is presented in Table 3-1 and the analytical laboratory reports are presented in Appendix B-1. Chain-of-custody forms are included in Appendix B-2. Daily Opening, Closing, and Continuing Calibration Verification Reports are included in Appendix B-3. Data from all periodic monitoring events conducted to date have been tabulated and are presented in Appendix C. Location maps for detections at depth for CC1<sub>4</sub>, Freon 113, TCE and 1,1-DCE are shown in Figures 3-1, 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4, respectively. Total VOC concentrations at depth are presented in Figure 3-5.

## 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are based on the results of the soil vapor sample laboratory analyses and the site conditions at the time of the fifteenth periodic sampling event (August 2003):

- Soil vapor monitoring probes at the depth of 108 feet and 118 feet in well No. 34 and monitoring probes at the depth of 25 and 80 feet in well No. 37 were plugged during this sampling event.
- With the exception of TCE, the VOCs (i.e., CC1<sub>4</sub>, Freon 113, and 1,1-DCE) detected during this sampling event were generally lower than those measured during the prior sampling events and those measured during the OU-2 RI. TCE concentration in soil vapor monitoring well No.4 were slightly greater than those measured during the previous sampling event and lower than those measured during the OU-2 RI.
- In addition to the above VOCs, PCE, benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and m&p-xylenes were also detected in the soil vapor monitoring well No.17 at very low concentrations.
- Based on the results of soil vapor samples collected during this sampling event, VOC concentrations generally continue to decline throughout the site. However, compared to the previous sampling event (May 2003), an increase in TCE concentration was noted during this sampling event at depths of 20 feet and 56 feet in soil vapor monitoring well No. 4. The TCE concentration increased from 5.1 to 26.0 μg/L-vapor at the depth of 20 feet and from ND to 2.5 μg/L-vapor at the depth of 56 feet.

#### 5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

This section briefly summarizes the quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures followed during the fifteenth periodic soil vapor-sampling event. Analytical data reports for all soil vapor samples were sent to Laboratory Data Consultants, located in Carlsbad, California, for independent data evaluation. All data was usable as qualified. The validated data reports are presented in Appendix A - Soil Vapor Data Validation Reports.

All sample analyses were performed using an external, three-point standard calibration method. For most target analytes, both detectors on the gas chromatograph (GC) were calibrated over a range equivalent of 5.0 to  $200.0~\mu g/L$  of analyte in soil vapor. Analytical system performance was verified at the beginning of each analytical day with an "opening standard" and a "closing standard" after the last environmental sample analysis for the day. A "continuing standard" was analyzed after the tenth environmental sample run that day. If ten or fewer samples were analyzed during the day, the closing standard substituted for the continuing standard. Results of daily opening, closing, and continuing (if applicable) standards are presented in Appendix B-3.

During each analytical day, the environmental sample analyses were bracketed by check standards, which verified acceptable system performance for the analytes listed in the daily calibration data summary tables (Appendix B3). The percent difference (%D) of calibration factors in continuing standard mixtures were less than or equal to 20 percent for selected compounds and less than or equal to 15 percent for all other compounds (see Appendix B-3).

Field blanks of ambient air from inside the field laboratory trailer were analyzed immediately after the opening verification standard and were clean in all cases. No matrix spikes or laboratory replicates were required.

Two surrogate compounds (1,4-difluorobenzene and 4-bromofluorobenzene) were injected into the GC along with the environmental samples as a QA/QC check on recovery limits. In accordance with RWQCB (1997) protocols, surrogate recoveries should be in the range of 75 to 125 percent. All surrogate recoveries obtained during this sampling event satisfied this criteria by a wide margin, usually within a recovery range of 85 to 115 percent.

No sample analysis data obtained during this sampling event were rejected as unusable. Overall, the assessment of soil vapor and corresponding control sample data indicate that data quality objectives were achieved in terms of precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, and completeness for all analytes sampled.

### 6.0 REFERENCES

- Battelle. 2001. Technical Memorandum, Design, Construction, Operation, Optimization, and Monitoring Recommendations for the Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) System, Operable Unit 2 (OU-2), Jet Propulsion Laboratory. October
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 1999. Final Remedial Investigation Report for Operable Unit 2: Potential On-Site Contaminant Source Areas. Volume 1. November.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000a. First Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998. February.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000b. Second Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998. February.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000c. *Third Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, October 1998*. March.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000d. Fourth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, January 2000. April.
- FWENC (Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation). 2000e. Fifth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, June 2000. September.
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001a. Sixth Long-Term Soil Vapor Sampling Results, January 2001. February.
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001b. Seventh Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, July 2001. November
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2001c. Eighth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, November 2001. January
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2002. Ninth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, February 2002. April
- GEOFON (GEOFON, Inc.). 2002. Tenth Periodic Soil Vapor Sampling Results, May 2002. July
- CRWQCB (California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region). 1997. Interim Guidance for Active Soil Gas Investigation. February 25.